IH-32 Rev: 2014-1

United States District Court Southern District of New York Related Case Statement

Full Ca	ption	of	Later	Filed	Case:

FCX Solar, LLC

Plaintiff	Case Number	
VS.	1:21-cv-08766-PAC	
FTC Solar, Inc.		
Defendant		

Full Caption of Earlier Filed Case:

(including in bankruptcy appeals the relevant adversary proceeding)

FCX Solar, LLC

Plaintiff	Case Number	
VS.	1:21-cv-03556-RA	
FTC Solar, Inc.		

IH-32 Rev: 2014-1

Status of Earlier Filed Case:						
	Closed	(If so, set forth the procedure which resulted in closure, e.g., voluntary dismissal, settlement, court decision. Also, state whether there is an appeal pending.)				
	Open	(If so, set forth procedural status and summarize any court rulings.)				
The Complaint in FCX Solar v. FTC Solar, No. 21-cv-3556-RA, was filed on April 21, 2021. FTC filed a partial motion to dismiss and motion for a more definite statement on July 2, 2021. An Amended Complaint was filed on July 16, 2021. FTC stood on its initial motion, which is fully briefed and pending. A scheduling order was entered on July 23, 2021, and the parties are engaged in document discovery.						
	Explain in detail the earlier filed case.	reasons for your position that the newly filed case is related to the				
Both cases concern the utilization by FTC of intellectual property belonging to FCX in solar trackers that it sold and continues to sell. The New York action asserts contract and related tort claims arising from a license agreement between the partiesat the time of filing, FTC had repudiated its payment obligations but did not terminate the license. After the license agreement was terminated and the termination went into effect, FCX filed the Texas action asserting patent infringement arising from FTC's continued use of FCX's intellectual property. This action seeks damages for sales occurring after the termination of the license agreement, while the New York action seeks damages for conduct that occurred prior to termination. And while breach of the license agreement may be found even without a finding of patent infringement, issues regarding the relationship between FTC's solar tracker products and FCX's patents and patent applications are common to both cases.						
1 n li	400) required FCX to noved to transfer the cense agreement.	to file its patent infringement action in Texas. FTC disagreed, and action to New York on the basis of a forum selection clause in the In FCX's view, the forum selection clause did not apply to				

post-termination infringement, but Judge Albright disagreed and ordered the case transferred. To the extent that venue is proper in this District, the common factual issues between the two cases and potentially overlapping discovery support marking them as related and assigning them to the same judge.

Signature:	/s/ Matthew J. Moffa		10/29/21	
J	Perkins Coie LLP			
Firm:				